

To: Gravatt, Dan[Gravatt.Dan@epa.gov]
From: Sanders, LaTonya
Sent: Mon 1/6/2014 3:06:47 PM
Subject: FW: Per Your Request to Doug Clemens

From: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**
Sent: Tuesday, December 31, 2013 1:25 PM
To: Harvey Ferdman
Cc: Dawn Chapman; West Lake Landfill / Bridgeton Landfill CAG; Sanders, LaTonya;
<Bill.Otto@house.mo.gov>; Hatch, Sarah
Subject: Re: Per Your Request to Doug Clemens

No Harvey I did not get this. Karl had requested the report so that he "could get a better understanding of the particle size implications."

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 29, 2013, at 2:26 PM, "Harvey Ferdman" <**Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**> wrote:

Doug / CAG,

Did you and/or the CAG receive this? It appears that it was sent in response to a question Doug had for Director Brooks that Doug had asked me to forward some background information regarding this topic to the Director and it appears that they think the question came from Rep. Otto's office. Did you (Doug and/or the CAG) receive a direct response from Region 7?

Regards,
Harvey

Harvey Ferdman

Policy Advisor to

Missouri State Representative Bill Otto, District 70

St. Louis, MO 63017

Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy

From: Sanders, LaTonya [<mailto:Sanders.Latonya@epa.gov>]

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 10:21 AM

To: **Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy**

Cc: Bill.Otto@house.mo.gov

Subject: Re: Per Your Request to Doug Clemens

Hi Harvey,

Below are the responses to your questions.

Thanks.

LaTonya E. Sanders

Congressional Liaison

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 7

Office of the Regional Administrator

Office of Public Affairs

11201 Renner Boulevard

Lenexa, Kansas 66219

Office: 913-551-7555

BlackBerry: 913-387-7548

Email: sanders.latonya@epa.gov

Season's Greetings!

The attached report was presented to our District in a public meeting on Thursday, November 21st after a separate "elected officials only" presentation that was well attended by Federal and local representatives. This report was also provided to local and national media outlets.

This report raises a number of concerns that we would like you to comment on:

1. The Thorium 230 present at WLL is as dangerous to human health as plutonium 239

Thorium-230, like any other radioactive element or isotope, can pose a health risk to people, but only if people are exposed to it.

2. The Th230 present at WLL is in particulates that are small enough to be respirable

Regardless of the size of the waste particles containing thorium-230 at West Lake Landfill Operable Unit 1, it is EPA's intent to prevent public exposure to thorium-230 and other radionuclides found in the waste.

3. Regarding PHASE II testing for the barrier location, assuming #1 and #2 are true (the author sites soil studies backing this up)

a. It seem prudent to include testing for Th230 and its particle size distribution during Phase II testing (and all radionuclide particle sizes) independent of concentration.

b. If respiratable radionuclides are present, should there be a containment structure used during construction of the barrier?

Waste samples collected during the Phase 2 coring work along the proposed alignment of the subsurface barrier trench will be analyzed for uranium, thorium and radium isotopes. Air monitoring will be conducted to evaluate any potential generation of radiologically-contaminated dust. Dust mitigation techniques will be available if they become necessary during the coring or the subsurface barrier trench construction, but are not expected to include a containment structure.

We look forward to your comments.

Regards and Happy Holidays,

Harvey

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 2014.0.4259 / Virus Database: 3658/6942 - Release Date: 12/22/13